

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,437	04/24/2001	George Leo Stegemeier	,5659-08100/EBM	5260
75	90 07/25/2003		-	
DEL CHRISTENSEN			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2463			SUCHFIELD, GEORGE A	
HOUSTON, TX 77252-2463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/841,437	STEGEMEIER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
*	George Suchfield	3672
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FILED THEORY FILED 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 is sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): the 35 USC 102 and/or	103 rejections of the Final Rej.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>531-534,537-556,558-575,578-60</u>	9,5396 and 5397.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 535,536,5	<u>76,577,610,623-625,665-706,5398</u>	and 5404-5440.
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Seorge Suchfield George Suchfield Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3672

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: New claims 5441-5444 raise the issue of indefiniteness under 35 USC 112(2), e.g., claim 5444 recites a heating rate outside the range of parent claim 5441.

Continuation of 10. Other: The Information Disclosure Statements of 6/4/03, 6/6/03 have been placed in the file but not considered as failing to include a statement as per 37 CFR 1.97(e)..